Initial Quarterly Loan Review Findings

FHA's Quarterly Loan Review Summary for Quarter ending March 31, 2014

Introduction >>>

The FHA Quarterly Loan Review Findings include all Single Family Post-Endorsement Technical Loan Reviews (PETRs) conducted by FHA between December 31, 2013, and March 31, 2014. This report reflects the initial rating of each file reviewed during the quarter. A loan rating of unacceptable may change if the lender provides mitigating documentation to FHA. Even if a rating is subsequently mitigated, an initial rating of unacceptable indicates the loan endorsement file exhibited a material defect at the time of endorsement. For additional details on the Report, please visit the "Quarterly Loan Review Findings Report" tab on our lender page at www.hud.gov/lenders.

Loan Sample Characteristics >>>

Total Loans Reviewed: 6,645 Purchase: 77% Conforming: 16% Rate & Term Refinance: 6% Deficient: 36% Streamline Refinance: 17% Initial Unacceptable: 48% HECM: 0% EPDs: 43%

Finding Category	% of Total	% Unacceptable (U)
Program Eligibility	10%	49%
Credit/Underwriting	28%	61%
Collateral/Asset Valuation	6%	33%
Operational Deficiencies	9%	44%
File Documentation	47%	29%
Totals	100%	

Top 5 Findings Ranked by Category

Program Eligibility	% of Total	% U	Credit and Underwriting	% of Total	% U
Purchase contract, Real Estate Certificate and/or Amendatory Clause missing, illegible or incorrect.	21%	22%	 CAIVRS, LDP/GSA authorization, and/or delinquent federal debt issues not properly documented or satisfied. 	17%	43%
2. Streamline refinance eligibility criteria not met.	14%	67%	Unacceptable, unsupported, or insufficient source of funds.	15%	62%
Mortgage amount incorrect, loan-to-value limit and/or statutory limit exceeded.	12%	82%	3. Concerns related to assets derived from gift(s).	12%	64%
 Borrower not owner occupant, property not principal residence, possible investor issues and/or eligibility requirements for principal residence not met. 	11%	30%	 Obligations of borrower(s) (non-purchasing spouses included) omitted, inaccurate, not supported, not disclosed, and/or illegible. 	12%	67%
5. Issues related to National Mortgage Licensing System registration requirements.	11%	26%	5. Income improperly documented.	12%	61%
6. All other	31%	63%	6. All other	31%	67%
	100%			100%	

Operational Deficiencies	% of Total	% U	File Documentation	% of Total	% U
Data integrity deficiencies: File documentation does not support Accept/Approve decision in AUS.	42%	53%	 Form HUD-92900-A not properly completed or missing. 	22%	10%
2. Lender Insured data integrity concerns: Insured loan data entered in FHA Connection is not supported by file documents.	26%	25%	Uniform Residential Loan Application not properly completed or missing.	12%	8%
 Unallowable, excessive costs/credits to borrower or other HUD-1 and/or Good Faith Estimate inaccuracies. 	16%	63%	 HUD-92900-LT FHA Loan Underwriting and Transmittal Summary is missing, illegible or incorrect. 	11%	10%
4. Non-Lender Insured data discrepancies exist between information entered in FHA Connection and the case binder, but do not affect loan approval	11%	21%	4. Form HUD-92800.5B substantially incomplete, incorrect or missing.	9%	8%
or insurability.			5. Existing construction in Special Flood Hazard Area	8%	66%
Sales contract dated less than 91 days from acquisition date by seller.	2%	71%	(A or V Zone) without evidence of flood insurance.		
6. All other	3%	63%	6. All other	37%	49%
	100%			100%	

Top 5 Findings Ranked by Category (Continued)

Collateral/Asset Valuation	% of Total	% U
Concerns related to Sales Comparison Approach section of the appraisal report.	38%	13%
Concerns related to the Neighborhood, Site, and/or Improvements section of the appraisal report.	35%	27%
Property does not meet Minimum Property Requirements or Standards.	13%	73%
4. Repairs not acceptably addressed.	7%	86%
5. Appraisal is missing or expired.	5%	50%
6. All other	2%	56%
	100%	